

National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2017 Results

NHS East Riding of Yorkshire Clinical Commissioning Group

Published September 2018

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey is undertaken by Quality Health on behalf of NHS England



Introduction

The National Cancer Patient Experience Survey 2017 is the seventh iteration of the survey first undertaken in 2010. It has been designed to monitor national progress on cancer care; to provide information to drive local quality improvements; to assist commissioners and providers of cancer care; and to inform the work of the various charities and stakeholder groups supporting cancer patients.

The survey was overseen by a national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group. This Advisory Group set the principles and objectives of the survey programme and guided questionnaire development.

The survey was commissioned and managed by NHS England. The survey provider, Quality Health, is responsible for designing, running and analysing the survey.

Full national results and other reports are available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the survey methodology and changes to the 2017 survey can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

This report

The report shows how this CCG scored for each question in the survey, compared with national results. It is aimed at helping individual CCGs to understand their performance and identify areas for local improvement.

Note that responses for questions with 1-20 respondents have been suppressed. This is to protect patient confidentiality and because uncertainty around the result is too great.

Data tables

The data tables presented in this report show the following for each question:

Column 1 shows the number of respondents for 2016 to this question

Column 2 shows the unadjusted 2016 score for this CCG

Column 3 shows the number of respondents for 2017 to this question

Column 4 shows the unadjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 5 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased compared with the last survey (2016)

Column 6 shows whether a score has significantly increased or decreased overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

Column 7 shows the case-mix adjusted 2017 score for this CCG

Column 8 shows the lower limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the top of the pale blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 9 shows the upper limit of the expected range of case-mix adjusted scores for this CCG (the bottom of the dark blue section on the comparability chart - see below)

Column 10 shows the national average score for this question.

Data tables (continued)

Results for individual response options are presented in the detailed data tables available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Confidence Intervals for unadjusted and case-mix adjusted data are provided in these tables.

Expected ranges and 95% confidence intervals highlight the uncertainty around the results. The size of the expected ranges and confidence intervals will be different for each question, and depends on the number of respondents and the range of their responses.

For further details on case-mix adjustment and the scoring methodology used, please refer to the Annex towards the end of this report.

Comparability charts

For the 2017 survey, we have adopted the CQC standard for reporting comparative performance, based on calculation of "expected ranges". This means that CCGs will be flagged as outliers only if there is statistical evidence that their scores deviate (positively or negatively) from the range of scores that would be expected for CCGs of the same size.

The comparability charts in this report show a bar with these expected ranges (in grey), higher than expected (in dark blue), and lower than expected (in pale blue). A black dot represents the actual score of this CCG.

The same colour convention has been used in Column 6 of the data tables.

For further details on expected ranges, please refer to the technical document at: www.ncpes.co.uk

Tumour group tables

The final set of tables in this report show the scores for each question for each of the 13 tumour groups, with a comparative national score for that tumour group.

These breakdowns are intended as additional information for CCGs to understand the differences between the experiences of patients with different types of cancer. The numbers are generally relatively small and may not be statistically significant. They should therefore be treated with some caution.

Notes on specific questions

Questions used solely to direct respondents to different parts of the survey (questions 4, 24, 27, 40, 43, 46) and other demographic and information questions are not reported.

How to use the data

Unadjusted data should be used to see the actual responses from patients relating to the CCG.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with expected ranges, should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than national results.

Case-mix adjusted data, together with case-mix adjusted confidence intervals are presented in the detailed data tables at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

These should be used to understand whether the results are significantly higher or lower than the results for another CCG.

Response rates

Numbers of respondents by tumour group, age and gender can be found in the Annex towards the end of this report.

Executive Summary

8.8 The average rating given by respondents when asked to rate their care on a scale of zero (very poor) to 10 (very good)

The following questions are included in phase 1 of the Cancer Dashboard developed by Public Health England and NHS England*:

- 73% of respondents said that they were definitely involved as much as they wanted to be in decisions about their care and treatment
- 89% of respondents said that they were given the name of a Clinical Nurse Specialist who would support them through their treatment
- 85% of respondents said that it had been 'quite easy' or 'very easy' to contact their Clinical Nurse Specialist
- 88% of respondents said that, overall, they were always treated with dignity and respect while they were in hospital
- 95% of respondents said that hospital staff told them who to contact if they were worried about their condition or treatment after they left hospital
- **54%** of respondents said that they thought the GPs and nurses at their general practice definitely did everything they could to support them while they were having cancer treatment.

Detailed results for these and other questions are set out in the sections that follow.

The questions were selected in discussion with the national Cancer Patient Experience Advisory Group and reflect four key patient experience domains: provision of information; involvement in decisions; care transition; interpersonal relations, respect and dignity. The figures presented above are all case-mix adjusted.

^{*} www.cancerdata.nhs.uk/dashboard

Questions which scored outside expected range

				Case-mix A	djusted	
Questi	ion	Number of respondents for this CCG	2017 Score for this CCG	Lower limit of expected range	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score
Seein	g your GP					
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	333	72%	72%	81%	77%
Diagn	ostic tests					
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	388	90%	92%	97%	95%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	392	85%	85%	91%	88%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	392	75%	75%	83%	79%
Findin	ng out what was wrong with you					
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	416	67%	72%	83%	77%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	370	68%	69%	78%	73%
Decid	ing the best treatment for you					
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable	427	67%	69%	77%	73%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and cupport in dealing	419	62%	62%	71%	67%
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	400	46%	51%	60%	56%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	424	73%	75%	82%	79%
Suppo	ort for people with cancer					
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	318	80%	82%	90%	86%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	276	73%	78%	87%	82%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	218	50%	51%	66%	58%
Opera	itions					
Q25	Reforehand had all the information needed about the	266	93%	94%	98%	96%
Hospi	tal care as a day patient / outpatient					
Q47	Deferenced nations had all information peeded about	261	78%	80%	89%	84%
Home	care and support					
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the	360	53%	54%	64%	59%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment	106	35%	36%	55%	45%
	Joseph Gold Mich. Modernorit					


Questions which scored outside expected range (continued)

		2017	2017 Case-mix Adjusted for the control of the cont			
Question	Number of respondents for this CCG	~ 0	e ×	Upper limit of expected range	National Average Score	

Care	from	vour	general	practice
Cale	11 0111	voui	uenerai	DIACTICE

Q52	GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment	331	93%	93%	98%	95%

Seeing your GP



			L	Jnadjust	ed Score	es		201	7 Case	e Mix Adjusted	
		20)16	20	17	C		,			
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q1	Saw GP once / twice before being told had to go to hospital	403	78%	333	73%			72%	72%	81%	77%
Q2	Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary	547	82%	440	81%			81%	81%	88%	84%


Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Diagnostic Tests



			l	Jnadjusto	ed Score	es		2017 Case Mix Adjuste			sted
		20)16	20	17	Ω		201	7 Gusc	wiix 7 taja	Sica
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q5	Received all the information needed about the test	484	92%	388	90%			90%	92%	97%	95%
Q6	The length of time waiting for the test to be done was about right	489	85%	392	85%			85%	85%	91%	88%
Q7	Given complete explanation of test results in understandable way	490	77%	392	76%			75%	75%	83%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Finding out what was wrong with you




			U	Inadjust	ed Score	s		201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20)16	20	17	C		201	7 0400	viix 7 taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q8	Patient told they could bring a family member or friend when first told they had cancer	517	71%	416	67%			67%	72%	83%	77%
Q9	Patient felt they were told sensitively that they had cancer	548	83%	437	83%			83%	81%	88%	85%
Q10	Patient completely understood the explanation of what was wrong	550	73%	443	70%			70%	69%	77%	73%
Q11	Patient given easy to understand written information about the type of cancer they had	469	64%	370	68%			68%	69%	78%	73%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 1 of 2)




			l	Jnadjusto	ed Score	s		201	7 Casa	e Mix Adjusted	
		20)16	20	17	C		201	7 Oasc	iviix Auju	Sicu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q12	Patient felt that treatment options were completely explained	484	82%	373	82%			82%	79%	87%	83%
Q13	Possible side effects explained in an understandable way	538	72%	427	68%			67%	69%	77%	73%
Q14	Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	539	67%	419	63%			62%	62%	71%	67%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Deciding the best treatment for you (Part 2 of 2)




			Unadjusted Scores						7 Case	e Mix Adjusted	
		20)16	20	17	C				,-	
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q15	Patient definitely told about side effects that could affect them in the future	501	52%	400	46%			46%	51%	60%	56%
Q16	Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment	542	78%	424	74%			73%	75%	82%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Clinical Nurse Specialist



			ι	Jnadjust	ed Score	es		201	2017 Case Mix Adjuste		
		20)16	20	17	C		201	7 Case	iviix Auju	Sieu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q17	Patient given the name of the CNS who would support them through their treatment	529	86%	420	90%			89%	88%	95%	91%
Q18	Patient found it easy to contact their CNS	372	86%	311	86%			85%	82%	90%	86%
Q19	Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	360	93%	290	89%			88%	84%	92%	88%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Support for people with cancer




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	s		2017 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		20)16	20	17	Ω			. 0000		0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q20	Hospital staff gave information about support groups	415	83%	318	81%			80%	82%	90%	86%
Q21	Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities	354	81%	276	74%			73%	78%	87%	82%
Q22	Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help	296	56%	218	51%			50%	51%	66%	58%
Q23	Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	245	85%	190	83%			81%	76%	87%	81%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Operations




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	s		201	7 Case	Mix Adjusted	
		20)16	20	17	C					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q25	Beforehand had all the information needed about the operation	318	95%	266	93%			93%	94%	98%	96%
Q26	Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way	313	75%	265	77%			76%	74%	84%	79%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 3)




			ι	Inadjust	ed Score	s		201	7 Case I	Mix Adiu	sted
		20)16	20	17	Ω					
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q28	Groups of doctors or nurses did not talk in front of patient as if they were not there	416	84%	326	85%			84%	78%	87%	82%
Q29	Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them	415	85%	327	83%			83%	81%	89%	85%
Q30	Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor	334	74%	262	72%			71%	68%	79%	73%
Q31	Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	415	72%	326	71%			72%	71%	80%	76%

- Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 3)




			L	Jnadjusto	ed Score		2017 Case Mix Adju			sted	
		20	16	20	17	Ω					J.CG
Question Always / nearly always enough		Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q32	Always / nearly always enough nurses on duty	415	56%	326	63%			63%	61%	71%	66%
Q33	All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by	408	70%	323	67%			68%	61%	76%	69%
Q34	Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment	416	87%	324	88%			88%	82%	89%	86%
Q35	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	312	51%	232	52%			52%	46%	59%	53%

- Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 3 of 3)




			L	Inadjust	ed Score	s		201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted
		20)16	20	17	Ω			. 0000		0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q36	Hospital staff definitely did everything to help control pain	356	83%	291	87%			86%	80%	88%	84%
Q37	Always treated with respect and dignity by staff	416	87%	326	88%			88%	85%	92%	89%
Q38	Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge	383	86%	307	85%			85%	82%	90%	86%
Q39	Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	405	96%	314	95%			95%	92%	97%	94%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 1 of 2)



			L	Jnadjusto	ed Score	s		201	7 Case	Mix Adju	isted
		20	16	20	17	C		201	7 0030	wiix Auju	5100
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q41	Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	415	71%	323	67%			67%	66%	76%	71%
Q42	Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them	509	97%	397	96%			97%	94%	98%	96%
Q44	Beforehand patient had all information needed about radiotherapy treatment	164	87%	144	85%			84%	81%	92%	87%
Q45	Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working	135	61%	119	53%			54%	51%	68%	59%


Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.


Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient (Part 2 of 2)



		Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjusted			sted
		2016		2017		C		201	7 0000	viint n taja	otou
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q47	Beforehand patient had all information needed about chemotherapy treatment	332	80%	261	78%			78%	80%	89%	84%
Q48	Patient given understandable Q48 information about whether chemotherapy was working		66%	230	63%			64%	62%	74%	68%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Home care and support




			L	Jnadjusto	ed Score	s		201	7 Case	Miv Adiu	etad
		20	2016 2017 O						7 Oasc	iviix Auju	Sicu
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q49	Hospital staff gave family or someone close all the information needed to help with care at home	454	57%	360	53%			53%	54%	64%	59%
Q50	Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment	226	47%	177	47%			45%	44%	63%	53%
Q51	Patient definitely given enough Support from health or social Services after treatment		43%	106	37%			35%	36%	55%	45%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score

↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)

(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)


Care from your general practice



			Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjuste		
		2016		2017		Ω	_				
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q52	Q52 GP given enough information about patient's condition and treatment		95%	331	93%			93%	93%	98%	95%
Q53	Practice staff definitely did Q53 everything they could to support patient		62%	268	55%		\	54%	54%	67%	60%

Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

Your overall NHS care (Part 1 of 2)



			L	Inadjust	ed Score	201	7 Case	Mix Adiu	sted		
		20)16	20	17	C					0.00
	Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score
Q54	Hospital and community staff always worked well together	534	60%	433	64%			65%	57%	67%	62%
Q55	Patient given a care plan	421	31%	339	32%			33%	30%	40%	35%
Q56	Overall the administration of the care was very good / good	550	88%	439	88%			88%	87%	93%	90%
Q57	Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	548	65%	438	67%			67%	62%	75%	69%


Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score


↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)


(NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)

^{*} Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Your overall NHS care (Part 2 of 2)







		Unadjusted Scores						2017 Case Mix Adjusted			
	20	16	20	17	0		201	7 0000	iviize z taja	0.00	
Question	Number of respondents	Score	Number of respondents	Score	Change 2016-2017	Change Overall	2017 Score	Expected range - lower	Expected range - upper	National Average Score	
Q59 Patient`s average rating of care scored from very poor to very good	542	8.8	431	8.9			8.8	8.7	8.9	8.8	

- Change 2016-2017: Indicates where 2017 score is significantly higher or lower than 2016 score
 ↑ or ↓ Change Overall: Indicates significant change overall (2015, 2016 and 2017)
 (NB: No arrow reflects no statistically significant change)
 - * Indicates where a score has been suppressed because there are less than 21 respondents.

Comparisons by tumour group for this CCG

The following tables show the unadjusted CCG and the national percentage scores for each question broken down by tumour group. Where a cell in the table contains an asterisk this indicates that the number of patients in that group was below 21 and too small to display. Where a cell in the table contains "n.a." this indicates that there were no respondents for that tumour group.

Seeing your GP

	Q1. Saw (twice before told had the hospital	_	Q2. Patient thought they were seen as soon as necessary				
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National			
Brain / CNS	n.a.	68%	n.a.	82%			
Breast	98%	94%	89%	90%			
Colorectal / LGT	65%	72%	85%	82%			
Gynaecological	77%	76%	69%	81%			
Haematological	48%	64%	81%	82%			
Head and Neck	*	77%	*	79%			
Lung	53%	68%	86%	83%			
Prostate	86%	79%	76%	87%			
Sarcoma	*	67%	*	67%			
Skin	*	90%	*	86%			
Upper Gastro	*	72%	61%	79%			
Urological	75%	82%	88%	86%			
Other	71%	72%	72%	79%			
All Cancers	73%	77%	81%	84%			

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Diagnostic tests

		ived all the on needed test		ing for the done was	explanati results in	n complete on of test ndable way
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	95%	n.a.	86%	n.a.	77%
Breast	94%	95%	90%	92%	82%	83%
Colorectal / LGT	87%	96%	92%	88%	82%	82%
Gynaecological	83%	93%	83%	86%	79%	76%
Haematological	90%	94%	83%	89%	76%	76%
Head and Neck	*	91%	*	86%	*	77%
Lung	97%	95%	82%	88%	74%	78%
Prostate	97%	94%	90%	87%	70%	81%
Sarcoma	*	91%	*	79%	*	75%
Skin	*	95%	*	87%	*	84%
Upper Gastro	78%	93%	74%	84%	77%	75%
Urological	91%	94%	84%	88%	71%	79%
Other	87%	95%	68%	87%	65%	77%
All Cancers	90%	95%	85%	88%	76%	79%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Finding out what was wrong with you

	could brii member o	t told they	were told	nt felt they ly that they er	Q10. Pation complete understoo explanati was wron	ly od the on of what	-	nderstand formation type of
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	83%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	65%	n.a.	65%
Breast	79%	84%	88%	89%	75%	78%	77%	77%
Colorectal / LGT	74%	82%	89%	86%	84%	79%	71%	72%
Gynaecological	52%	71%	85%	82%	81%	73%	76%	71%
Haematological	62%	72%	79%	83%	61%	59%	79%	76%
Head and Neck	*	73%	*	85%	*	74%	*	65%
Lung	79%	77%	83%	83%	65%	75%	60%	65%
Prostate	72%	79%	76%	85%	81%	79%	80%	82%
Sarcoma	*	70%	*	82%	*	67%	*	59%
Skin	*	66%	*	88%	*	81%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	55%	78%	83%	80%	57%	73%	52%	66%
Urological	54%	73%	75%	83%	68%	77%	*	73%
Other	53%	75%	74%	82%	59%	71%	41%	64%
All Cancers	67%	77%	83%	85%	70%	73%	68%	73%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Deciding the best treatment for you

	Q12. Pation treatment were commexplained	pletely	effects explained in an understandable way		Q14. Patient given practical advice and support in dealing with side effects of treatment	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	81%	n.a.	75%	n.a.	65%
Breast	83%	84%	70%	75%	71%	70%
Colorectal / LGT	85%	86%	63%	77%	67%	71%
Gynaecological	*	84%	69%	76%	62%	67%
Haematological	90%	80%	78%	70%	65%	65%
Head and Neck	*	84%	*	69%	*	67%
Lung	79%	84%	67%	75%	67%	69%
Prostate	87%	83%	85%	73%	69%	65%
Sarcoma	*	78%	*	71%	*	63%
Skin	*	88%	*	77%	*	73%
Upper Gastro	*	82%	61%	71%	36%	65%
Urological	70%	82%	54%	71%	50%	62%
Other	76%	80%	50%	72%	48%	64%
All Cancers	82%	83%	68%	73%	63%	67%

	side effec	told about ets that ect them in	Q16. Patient definitely involved in decisions about care and treatment			
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	55%	n.a.	75%		
Breast	44%	57%	77%	79%		
Colorectal / LGT	43%	59%	78%	81%		
Gynaecological	48%	54%	77%	79%		
Haematological	45%	50%	74%	77%		
Head and Neck	*	58%	*	77%		
Lung	52%	54%	69%	79%		
Prostate	67%	64%	87%	81%		
Sarcoma	*	53%	*	77%		
Skin	*	66%	*	86%		
Upper Gastro	27%	52%	67%	77%		
Urological	*	53%	55%	76%		
Other	36%	51%	60%	75%		
All Cancers	46%	56%	74%	79%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Clinical Nurse Specialist

	Q17. Pation the name CNS who support the through the treatment	of the would hem heir	Q18. Patient found it easy to contact their CNS		Q19. Get understandable answers to important questions all or most of the time	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	96%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	87%
Breast	92%	95%	88%	86%	89%	88%
Colorectal / LGT	90%	92%	94%	88%	88%	89%
Gynaecological	92%	94%	91%	85%	*	87%
Haematological	88%	91%	90%	88%	89%	88%
Head and Neck	*	89%	*	88%	*	88%
Lung	100%	94%	84%	87%	76%	87%
Prostate	84%	90%	*	84%	*	88%
Sarcoma	*	89%	*	82%	*	87%
Skin	*	90%	*	88%	*	93%
Upper Gastro	*	92%	*	86%	*	87%
Urological	68%	83%	*	85%	*	88%
Other	95%	89%	74%	85%	87%	86%
All Cancers	90%	91%	86%	86%	89%	88%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Support for people with cancer

	Q20. Hos gave info about sul groups		Q21. Hospital staff gave information about impact cancer could have on day to day activities		Q22. Hospital staff gave information on getting financial help		Q23. Hospital staff told patient they could get free prescriptions	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	88%	n.a.	82%	n.a.	74%	n.a.	78%
Breast	92%	90%	80%	86%	51%	62%	83%	81%
Colorectal / LGT	79%	86%	69%	83%	24%	55%	*	84%
Gynaecological	*	85%	*	81%	*	59%	*	77%
Haematological	75%	84%	88%	83%	46%	59%	81%	86%
Head and Neck	*	84%	*	82%	*	61%	*	82%
Lung	78%	85%	64%	80%	85%	69%	*	85%
Prostate	77%	89%	76%	85%	*	49%	*	79%
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	74%	*	53%	*	74%
Skin	*	87%	*	83%	n.a.	56%	*	62%
Upper Gastro	*	84%	*	82%	*	61%	*	84%
Urological	*	78%	*	74%	*	39%	*	71%
Other	82%	82%	76%	78%	61%	57%	*	81%
All Cancers	81%	86%	74%	82%	51%	58%	83%	81%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Operations

			Q26. Staff explained how operation had gone in understandable way		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	93%	n.a.	76%	
Breast	96%	97%	77%	79%	
Colorectal / LGT	98%	96%	89%	83%	
Gynaecological	90%	96%	81%	80%	
Haematological	*	93%	*	75%	
Head and Neck	*	96%	*	77%	
Lung	*	95%	*	78%	
Prostate	*	96%	*	78%	
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	78%	
Skin	*	96%	*	84%	
Upper Gastro	*	96%	*	78%	
Urological	87%	95%	70%	76%	
Other	95%	95%	68%	78%	
All Cancers	93%	96%	77%	79%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 1 of 2)

		r nurses lk in front as if they	Q29. Patient had confidence and trust in all doctors treating them		Q30. Patient's family or someone close definitely had opportunity to talk to doctor		Q31. Patient had confidence and trust in all ward nurses	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	75%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	67%	n.a.	71%
Breast	97%	89%	89%	87%	74%	76%	71%	78%
Colorectal / LGT	89%	78%	87%	86%	77%	73%	83%	71%
Gynaecological	*	86%	*	86%	*	74%	*	72%
Haematological	93%	81%	90%	81%	80%	75%	76%	75%
Head and Neck	*	81%	*	84%	*	73%	*	72%
Lung	*	76%	76%	82%	*	75%	76%	76%
Prostate	*	86%	*	90%	*	75%	*	81%
Sarcoma	*	81%	*	81%	*	69%	*	70%
Skin	*	89%	*	90%	*	79%	*	83%
Upper Gastro	*	74%	*	83%	*	71%	*	71%
Urological	71%	80%	76%	86%	*	69%	67%	78%
Other	78%	80%	75%	81%	63%	71%	50%	72%
All Cancers	85%	82%	83%	85%	72%	73%	71%	76%

	Q32. Alwa always er nurses or	•	Q33. All staff asked patient what name they preferred to be called by		Q34. Always given enough privacy when discussing condition or treatment		Q35. Patient was able to discuss worries or fears with staff during visit	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	64%	n.a.	68%	n.a.	81%	n.a.	46%
Breast	61%	70%	56%	64%	92%	87%	44%	56%
Colorectal / LGT	72%	62%	75%	71%	87%	85%	64%	53%
Gynaecological	*	65%	*	65%	*	82%	*	52%
Haematological	79%	63%	69%	69%	93%	86%	*	55%
Head and Neck	*	63%	*	68%	*	85%	*	53%
Lung	67%	69%	*	72%	71%	84%	*	49%
Prostate	*	71%	*	69%	*	89%	*	53%
Sarcoma	*	61%	*	65%	*	83%	*	48%
Skin	*	76%	*	71%	*	89%	*	58%
Upper Gastro	*	63%	*	76%	*	84%	*	50%
Urological	57%	69%	67%	72%	81%	85%	*	46%
Other	53%	62%	66%	69%	81%	83%	61%	48%
All Cancers	63%	66%	67%	69%	88%	86%	52%	53%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as an inpatient (Part 2 of 2)

	Q36. Hos definitely everythin control pa	did g to help	Q37. Always treated with respect and dignity by staff		Q38. Given clear written information about what should / should not do post discharge		Q39. Staff told patient who to contact if worried post discharge	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	85%	n.a.	84%	n.a.	79%	n.a.	93%
Breast	89%	87%	87%	90%	89%	92%	97%	96%
Colorectal / LGT	89%	85%	91%	87%	85%	84%	96%	94%
Gynaecological	*	84%	100%	87%	86%	87%	*	93%
Haematological	100%	82%	90%	90%	75%	80%	100%	96%
Head and Neck	*	82%	*	87%	*	85%	*	92%
Lung	*	85%	81%	89%	*	83%	*	92%
Prostate	*	86%	*	91%	*	89%	*	95%
Sarcoma	*	85%	*	87%	*	77%	*	92%
Skin	*	87%	*	93%	*	91%	*	96%
Upper Gastro	*	82%	*	87%	*	82%	*	94%
Urological	*	82%	86%	89%	*	86%	*	91%
Other	77%	83%	81%	88%	90%	81%	97%	93%
All Cancers	87%	84%	88%	89%	85%	86%	95%	94%

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Hospital care as a day patient / outpatient

	Q41. Pation able to dispersion worries on with staff visit	scuss r fears	Q42. Doctor had the right notes and other documentation with them Q44. Beforeha patient had all information no about radiothe treatment		ad all on needed liotherapy	Q45. Patient given understandable information about whether radiotherapy was working		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	67%	n.a.	97%	n.a.	91%	n.a.	59%
Breast	67%	71%	98%	96%	88%	88%	57%	59%
Colorectal / LGT	78%	74%	96%	96%	*	85%	*	58%
Gynaecological	*	69%	*	96%	*	85%	*	65%
Haematological	67%	73%	98%	97%	*	83%	*	60%
Head and Neck	*	70%	*	96%	*	86%	*	64%
Lung	70%	70%	94%	95%	*	86%	*	58%
Prostate	*	74%	100%	96%	*	88%	*	59%
Sarcoma	*	70%	*	95%	*	81%	*	53%
Skin	*	72%	*	96%	n.a.	77%	n.a.	70%
Upper Gastro	*	70%	91%	95%	*	86%	*	56%
Urological	*	66%	*	96%	*	84%	*	54%
Other	63%	68%	90%	95%	*	87%	*	59%
All Cancers	67%	71%	96%	96%	85%	87%	53%	59%

	Q47. Before patient had informatic about chemothed treatment	ad all on needed erapy	Q48. Patient given understandable information about whether chemotherapy was working			
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	83%	n.a.	63%		
Breast	74%	83%	62%	62%		
Colorectal / LGT	76%	84%	67%	66%		
Gynaecological	*	86%	*	67%		
Haematological	83%	84%	71%	75%		
Head and Neck	*	78%	*	58%		
Lung	84%	85%	67%	69%		
Prostate	*	86%	*	69%		
Sarcoma	*	79%	*	67%		
Skin	*	87%	*	81%		
Upper Gastro	52%	84%	*	64%		
Urological	*	84%	*	69%		
Other	90%	85%	59%	69%		
All Cancers	78%	84%	63%	68%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Home care and support

	gave fam someone the inforn	close all nation help with	Q50. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services during treatment		Q51. Patient definitely given enough support from health or social services after treatment		
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	57%	n.a.	49%	n.a.	44%	
Breast	51%	59%	50%	53%	32%	42%	
Colorectal / LGT	65%	62%	61%	62%	*	52%	
Gynaecological	*	57%	*	47%	*	38%	
Haematological	60%	61%	*	52%	*	45%	
Head and Neck	*	63%	*	56%	*	50%	
Lung	34%	58%	*	51%	*	42%	
Prostate	64%	60%	*	50%	*	44%	
Sarcoma	*	57%	*	49%	*	43%	
Skin	*	67%	*	61%	*	59%	
Upper Gastro	38%	59%	*	53%	*	45%	
Urological	*	58%	*	48%	*	45%	
Other	57%	56%	*	53%	*	45%	
All Cancers	53%	59%	47%	53%	37%	45%	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Care from your general practice

	Q52. GP of enough in about pat condition treatment	nformation ient`s and	Q53. Practice staff definitely did everything they could to support patient			
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG \$	National		
Brain / CNS	n.a.	94%	n.a.	52%		
Breast	95%	96%	64%	61%		
Colorectal / LGT	88%	95%	59%	60%		
Gynaecological	95%	95%	*	56%		
Haematological	100%	96%	53%	58%		
Head and Neck	*	93%	*	60%		
Lung	88%	95%	56%	60%		
Prostate	97%	96%	65%	67%		
Sarcoma	*	94%	*	55%		
Skin	*	96%	*	69%		
Upper Gastro	*	93%	*	60%		
Urological	*	95%	*	62%		
Other	94% 95%		35%	58%		
All Cancers	93%	95%	55%	60%		

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Your overall NHS care

	Q54. Hos communi always w together	-	Q55. Patient given a care plan		Q56. Overall the administration of the care was very good / good		Q57. Length of time for attending clinics and appointments was right	
Cancer type	This CCG \$	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG ^{\$}	National	This CCG \$	National
Brain / CNS	n.a.	53%	n.a.	35%	n.a.	85%	n.a.	68%
Breast	68%	62%	31%	38%	94%	91%	70%	68%
Colorectal / LGT	74%	61%	37%	38%	92%	89%	70%	71%
Gynaecological	69%	58%	*	31%	92%	89%	76%	67%
Haematological	70%	63%	43%	34%	88%	92%	58%	65%
Head and Neck	*	59%	*	35%	*	88%	*	68%
Lung	58%	63%	18%	33%	89%	90%	64%	71%
Prostate	74%	66%	48%	36%	91%	89%	73%	74%
Sarcoma	*	55%	*	30%	*	87%	*	65%
Skin	*	70%	*	44%	*	89%	*	75%
Upper Gastro	35%	57%	*	34%	78%	87%	50%	68%
Urological	61%	63%	27%	30%	80%	87%	67%	75%
Other	55%	57%	26%	30%	76%	88%	60%	65%
All Cancers	64%	62%	32%	35%	88%	90%	67%	69%

	Q58. Taki cancer re discusse patient		Q59. Patient's average rating of care scored from very poor to very good		
Cancer type	This CCG ^{\$} National		This CCG \$	National	
Brain / CNS	n.a.	33%	n.a.	8.5	
Breast	10%	31%	9.0	8.9	
Colorectal / LGT	31%	30%	9.1	8.8	
Gynaecological	12%	36%	8.8	8.8	
Haematological	42%	33%	9.1	8.9	
Head and Neck	*	18%	*	8.7	
Lung	27%	36%	8.7	8.7	
Prostate	27%	35%	9.2	8.8	
Sarcoma	*	39%	*	8.6	
Skin	*	18%	*	8.9	
Upper Gastro	18%	34%	8.3	8.7	
Urological	10%	20%	8.0	8.7	
Other	33%	33%	8.9	8.7	
All Cancers	24%	31%	8.9	8.8	

^{\$} These are unadjusted scores

Annex

Methodology

The sample for the survey included all adult (aged 16 and over) NHS patients, with a confirmed primary diagnosis of cancer, discharged from an NHS Trust after an inpatient episode or day case attendance for cancer related treatment in the months of April, May and June 2017.

The patients included in the sample had relevant cancer ICD10 codes (C00-99 excluding C44 and C84, and D05) in the first diagnosis field of their patient records, applied to their patient files by the relevant NHS Trust, and were alive at the point at which fieldwork commenced. Deceased checks were undertaken on up to three occasions during fieldwork, to ensure that questionnaires were not sent to patients who had died since their treatment.

Trust samples were checked rigorously for duplicates and patient lists were also deduplicated nationally to ensure that patients did not receive multiple copies of questionnaires.

The fieldwork for the survey was undertaken between October 2017 and March 2018.

The survey used a mixed mode methodology. Questionnaires were sent by post with two reminders where necessary, but also included an option to complete online. A Freephone helpline was available for respondents to ask questions about the survey, to enable them to complete their questionnaires over the phone, and to provide access to a translation and interpreting facility for those whose first language was not English.

The Health Research Authority supported the survey by granting Section 251 approval.

Further information

Further information on survey methodology, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Redevelopment of the 2017 survey

There have been no changes to the questionnaire compared to 2016.

Official Statistics

The 2017 survey data has been produced and published in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Annex (continued)

Scoring methodologies

49 of the 50 questions relating directly to patient experience have been summarised as the score of the percentage of patients who reported a positive experience. For example:

question 6 asks: "Overall, how did you feel about the length of time you had to wait for your test to be done?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("It was about right")

question 11 asks: "When you were told you had cancer, were you given written information about the type of cancer you had?". Responses have been recorded as positive only for those patients who selected the first option ("Yes, and it was easy to understand").

Neutral responses, such as "Don't know / I can't remember" and "I did not need an explanation" are not included in the denominator when calculating the score.

Where options do not provide any information on positive/negative patient experience (e.g. "Don't know / can't remember"), they are excluded from the score.

The other question (question 59) asks respondents to rate their overall care on a scale of 0 to 10. Scores have been given as an average on this scale.

A copy of the detailed scoring methodology for the 2017 questionnaire is available at: www.ncpes.co.uk

Further details on the scoring methodology can be found in the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Case-mix adjustment

As in 2016, case-mix adjusted findings are being presented alongside unadjusted results for CCGs. Case-mix adjustment allows us to account for the impact that differing patient populations might have on results. By using the case-mix adjusted estimates we can obtain a greater understanding of how a CCG is performing given their patient population.

The factors taken into account in this case-mix adjustment are gender, age, ethnic group, deprivation, and tumour group.

For further details on case-mix adjustment, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Annex (continued)

Statistical significance

In the reporting of 2017 results, appropriate statistical tests have been undertaken to identify unadjusted scores for which the change over time is 'statistically significant'.

Each of the 52 scored questions in 2017 have been compared with those of 2016 and statistically significant change between the two years has been reported where identified.

For the 49 scored questions that are comparable across 2015, 2016 and 2017, overall statistically significant change over the three years has also been reported where identified.

'Statistically significant' means that you can be very confident that any change between scores is real and not due to chance.

For further details on statistical significance, please refer to the technical document for the survey, available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk

Response Rates

	Sample Size	Excluded	Adjusted Sample	Not Returned	Blank / Refused	Completed	Response Rate
National	118,305	7,856	110,449	38,132	3,245	69,072	63%
02Y	681	46	635	166	22	447	70%

Respondents by tumour group

The tables below show the numbers of patients from each tumour group and the age and gender distribution of these patients.

Tumour Group	Number of respondents*		
Brain / CNS	0		
Breast	109		
Gynaecological	26		
Colorectal / LGT	55		
Lung	37		
Skin	10		
Haematological	59		
Upper Gastro	24		
Other	44		
Urological	26		
Prostate	33		
Sarcoma	7		
Head and Neck	17		

^{*} These figures may not match the numerator for all questions in the 'Comparisons by tumour group' section of this report, because not all questions were answered by all respondents.

Respondents by age and gender

The questionnaire asked respondents to give their year of birth. This information has been amalgamated into 8 age bands. The age and gender distribution for the CCG was as follows:

	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65-74	75-84	85+	Total
Male	0	1	0	11	35	82	59	11	199
Female	0	5	8	39	61	102	27	6	248
Total	0	6	8	50	96	184	86	17	447



Quality Health is a specialist health and social care survey organisation, working for public, private and not-for-profit sectors, in the UK and overseas.

Quality Health works with all acute hospitals in England, all independent providers of hospital care, and all Health Boards in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Quality Health is an approved contractor for the Care Quality Commission's patient survey programmes, NHS England's National Staff Survey programme, and the national Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs).

Further information on Quality Health is available at:

www.quality-health.co.uk

Further information on the National Cancer Patient Experience Survey, as well as all of the national and local reports and data, is available at:

www.ncpes.co.uk